OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY (ENVIRONMENTAL WELLBEING) WORKING GROUP STUDY

AREA OF REVIEW	DETAILS/COMMENTS				
Title of Study (name of Working Group)	Development Management Process Working Group.				
Appointing Panel	Overview and Scrutiny (Environmental Well-Being) Panel.				
Members Assigned (including date Working Group appointed)	TBC				
Possible Co-Options to the Group	TBC				
Interests Declared	TBC				
Rapporteur	TBC				
Officer Support	Roy Reeves, Head of Democratic and Central Services Jessica Walker, Trainee Democratic Services Officer				
Purpose of Study / Objective (specify exactly what the study should achieve)	To investigate the process for the determination of planning applications and make recommendations where appropriate.				
Rationale (key issues and/or reason for conducting a study)	Anecdotal evidence from Members of public concern over the pre-decision planning process.				
Terms of Reference	The review will concentrate on the process leading to the determination of planning applications, not the decision making process itself or the merits of decisions. The intention will be to look at the practices and procedures from first enquiry by potential applicants to the preparation of an officer's final report and recommendations, involving preapplication advice, public consultation, plans and amendments, duration of the process and other related matters.				
Links to Council Policies/Strategies	Link to Corporate Plan – To improve our systems and practices.				

Methodology / Approach	Examination of available data;				
(what types of enquiries will be	Interviews;				
used to gather evidence)	Surveys.				
External/Specialist Support	TBC				
Existing Documentation	To be determined.				
Evidence to be Obtained (e.g. witnesses, documents, site visits, consultation, research, etc)	Evidence to be obtained by the Democratic Services team, together with information from the Planning Division. Possible survey of sample of applicants. Consultation with Town and Parish Councils. Customer feedback & ombudsman investigations (if any). Comparison of processes with other authorities.				

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY (ENVIRONMENTAL WELLBEING) WORKING GROUP STUDY

	Website Comparisons.			
	Performance against Government Indicators.			
	Availability of best practice advice and guidance.			
	Cost effectiveness of process.			
Reference Sites	Comparable local authorities.			
Investigations	To be undertaken by officers supporting the Working Group.			
Witnesses	Planning officers.			
	Chairman of Development Management Panel.			
Site Visits (if necessary)	Likely to be unnecessary.			
(where and when)				
Meetings of the Working Group	To be determined.			
Costs	Officer time – both to provide support and to conduct			
(resource requirements,	research.			
additional expenditure, time)				
Possible Barriers to the Study	None known at this stage.			
(potential weaknesses)				
Projected Timescale	Start – July 2009			
(Start and end times)	Finish - TBC			